

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	١
	10/524,527		02/10/2005	Tatsuro Nagahara	2002JP307	1162	
	26289	7590	03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
			MATERIALS	LE, HOA VAN			
	ATTENTION 70 MEISTER		STRIAL PROPE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	SOMERVILI				1752		

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Y
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/524,527	NAGAHARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoa V. Le	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN.  136(a). In no event, however, may  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mode, cause the application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected t	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		•••	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bures		at received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st or the certified copies h	or received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04 August 2005</u>.</li> </ol>		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

This application is before the examiner for consideration on the merits.

- I. The language (1) "up to" include zero and (2) "basic...", "acid..." or the like is and considered as a function of a material. An argument to an applied compound against a function of a material may has little value. It is allowed by law to request and require applicants to show or provide a convincing evidence to the contrary as stated in In re Schreiber, 44 USPQ2d 1429.
- II. Applicants' prior art submission filed on 04 august 2005 has been considered to the extent of the English language being provided.
- III. The record shows that this application is filed by Az electronic Materials USA Corp.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/524,527

Art Unit: 1752

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nagahara et al (6,902,875).

Nagahara et al disclose and teach a composition comprising a modified polysilsesquiazane being read on and within the general formula in claim 1, "up to..." include zero, a photoacid generator and (a basic material. It is and considered as a functional material. At the level of one sill in the art, there are many types of compounds that would provide a pH or more than 7. Such compounds have "N" and "OH" in the chemical structures and are applied. Please the whole disclosure of the applied reference, especially at col.3:41, 4:46 to 5:23 and 34-51, 7:7 to 8:47, 9:8-9, 10:43 to 12:27, compounds have "N" and "OH" in the chemical structures and are applied on col.14:3-19, 14:6 to 16:54, 17:47-51 and col.31:14 to 32:48. Since the Nagahara et al is reasonably disclosed and taught the claimed embodiments as broadly disclosed, the above claims are found to be anticipated by

Application/Control Number: 10/524,527 Page 4

Art Unit: 1752

Nagahara et al. For the teachings and suggests being remote from examples, they are reasonably found to be rendered prima facie obvious by Nagahara et al.

IV. The record shows that this application has a common inventor, Tatsuro Nagahara.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting (in the case of the company' name is changed) as being unpatentable over claims 6-14 and their specifically preferred

Art Unit: 1752

embodiments being specified in the disclosure of the embodiments of the patent specification of U.S. Patent No. 6,902,875 to Nagahara et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Nagahara et al disclose and teach a composition comprising a modified polysilsesquiazane being read on and within the general formula in claim 1, "up to..." include zero, a photoacid generator and (a basic material. It is and considered as a functional material. At the level of one sill in the art, there are many types of compounds that would provide a pH or more than 7. Such compounds have "N" and "OH" in the chemical structures and are applied. Please the whole disclosure of the applied reference, especially at col.3:41, 4:46 to 5:23 and 34-51, 7:7 to 8:47, 9:8-9, 10:43 to 12:27, compounds have "N" and "OH" in the chemical structures and are applied on col.14:3-19, 14:6 to 16:54, 17:47-51 and col.31:14 to 32:48. No patentable distinction is found between the rejected claims and the applied claims.

V. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1332.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,527 Page 6

Art Unit: 1752

The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:30 PM on

Monday though Thursday and about the same time of most Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526.

Applicants may file a paper by (1) fax with a central facsimile receiving

number 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be

obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status

information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

Hoa V. Le Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1752

HVL

13 March 2006

HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER